

STATE OF INDIANA



INDIANA UTILITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
302 W. WASHINGTON STREET, SUITE E-306
INDIANAPOLIS, INDIANA 46204-2764

<http://www.state.in.us/iurc/>
Office: (317) 232-2701
Facsimile: (317) 232-6758

IN THE MATTER OF THE INVESTIGATION)
ON THE COMMISSION'S OWN MOTION)
UNDER INDIANA CODE § 8-1-2-72, INTO ANY)
AND ALL MATTERS RELATED TO THE)
COMMISSION'S MIRRORING POLICY)
ARTICULATED IN CAUSE NO. 40785 AND)
THE EFFECT OF THE FCC'S MAG ORDER)
ON SUCH POLICY, ACCESS CHARGE)
REFORM, UNIVERSAL SERVICE REFORM,)
AND HIGH COST OR UNIVERSAL SERVICE)
FUNDING MECHANISMS RELATIVE TO)
TELEPHONE AND TELECOMMUNICATIONS)
SERVICES WITHIN THE STATE OF INDIANA)
RESPONDENTS:)
ALL TELECOMMUNICATION SERVICE)
PROVIDERS, INCLUDING INTRASTATE)
WIRELESS CARRIERS, IN THE STATE)
OF INDIANA.)

CAUSE NO. 42144 S-1

RECEIVED

OCT 27 2004

INDIANA UTILITY
REGULATORY COMMISSION

You are hereby notified that on this date the Indiana Utility Regulatory Commission ("Commission") caused the following entry to be made in this Cause:

On October 15, 2004, The Indiana Office of Utility Consumer Counselor ("OUCC") filed an unopposed *Motion for Extension of Procedural Schedule* ("Motion") in the above captioned Cause. In its Motion, the OUCC requests that the procedural schedule in this cause be continued and states that due to delays in obtaining discovery responses from most of Indiana's incumbent local exchange carrier (ILEC) eligible telecommunications carriers (ETCs) the OUCC requires additional time to analyze ILEC-ETCs' responses to OUCC Data Requests before drafting initial testimony to be filed in this cause on behalf of Indiana consumers and the general public. The Presiding Officers, having reviewed the Motion and being duly advised in the premises hereby GRANT the Motion and revise the procedural schedule in this matter as follows:

1. **Prefiling Date for All Parties.** The Parties should prefile with the Commission their prepared testimony and exhibits constituting their respective cases-in-chief on or before November 12, 2004. Copies of same should be served upon all parties of record.

2. **Responsive Testimony.** Any party may file responsive testimony regarding the case-in-chief filed by other parties in this Cause. In the event that a party wishes to file responsive testimony in this matter it should prefile with the Commission prepared rebuttal

testimony on or before December 17, 2004. Copies of same should be served upon all parties of record.

3. **Reply Testimony.** In the event that a party wishes to file reply testimony, in response to rebuttal testimony, should do so on or before January 14, 2005.

4. **Evidentiary Hearing on the Parties' Cases-In-Chief.** The Evidentiary Hearing in this cause is now continued to February 8, 2005 commencing at 9:30 a.m., in Room TC-10 of the Indiana Government Center South, Indianapolis, Indiana.

5. **Motions to Strike Prefiled Testimony.** Motions to Strike any prefiled testimony in this matter should be filed with the Commission on or before January 21, 2005.

In addition, the OUCC indicates in their Motion that they served copies of their Motion on several individuals included on the service list that they do not believe are actively involved in this sub-docket. If any of the individuals listed below wishes to remain on the service list for this proceeding they should advise the Commission of their request (with copies of the notice served on all parties) within ten (10) days. Parties listed below that do not contact the Commission within ten (10) days may be removed from the existing service list. It will not be necessary to serve those parties with subsequent filings in this matter. (Electronic versions of all filings in this Cause are available on the Commission's web site)

- 1.) Kristin L. Altice
- 2.) Ann C. Bernard
- 3.) Robert B. Hebert
- 4.) David J. Bodle
- 5.) Rick D. Doyle
- 6.) Paul Hartman
- 7.) Teresa E. Morton
- 8.) Robert K. Johnson
- 9.) Michael & Smith
- 10.) Carol Keith
- 11.) Beth K. Fujimoto
- 12.) Joseph D. Murphy
- 13.) Paul Severence
- 14.) Michael F. Sheehan.

IT IS SO ORDERED.



David E. Ziegner, Commissioner



Scott R. Storms, Chief Administrative Law Judge

October 27, 2004

Date